

To: Kamke, Sherry[Kamke.Sherry@epa.gov]
From: Restaino, Mark
Sent: Thur 8/1/2013 2:30:45 PM
Subject: FW: Questions re: Region 5 requests for funding from OUST
FY13 RSO Worksheet Revised 6-17-13.xls
FY13 RSO Worksheet Revised CLH 07 22 2013.xlsx

Sherry,

I just wanted to make sure that the MPCA's request for additional funding was still being considered. It looks like it is the RSO, but I am not sure what the status is based on Carolyn's comments on 7/22/13.

-Mark

From: Hoskinson, Carolyn
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 4:18 PM
To: Kamke, Sherry
Cc: Cisneros, Arturo; Egan, Robert; Galbraith, Erin; Hill, Kevin; Patterson, Estelle; Restaino, Mark; Siler, Sandy; Lienesch, William; DePont, Lynn; Worley, Ray; Walker, Tom
Subject: Questions re: Region 5 requests for funding from OUST

Sherry –

Thank you so much for your spreadsheet from the June 17th email message below. I am sorry that the budget process has been so challenging this year, and that OUST is just now wrapping up final funding decisions this close to the end of the year!

In response to some of your specific requests, I believe that some of your requests in the attached spreadsheet may be slightly revised by now. I spoke with Bob Egan earlier today, and I believe I have the correct information, but I wanted to try to summarize for you what I think I know, and please tell me if I'm misunderstanding anything. I wrote my comments in red ink in the farthest right column of the new version titled "...revised CLH 07 22 2013". I hope my questions make sense. If you wish to talk through this by phone and make sure we have the correct understanding of what funds you could use for the remainder of this FY, please set something up.

Thanks!

- Carolyn

From: Kamke, Sherry
Sent: Monday, June 17, 2013 5:56 PM
To: Barolo, Mark; DePont, Lynn
Cc: Cisneros, Arturo; Egan, Robert; Galbraith, Erin; Hill, Kevin; Patterson, Estelle; Restaino, Mark; Siler, Sandy
Subject: RE: Potential Additional UST Funding - Solicitation For Projects/Needs

Mark and Lynn,

Thanks for your message. Please see the request for funds from Region 5. I revised the previously send RSO spreadsheet which doesn't readily allow for projects to be proposed by priority. I imagine that consolidating all this information from the regions will be challenging. I attempted to list things based on an overall priority but it might be more helpful if I listed things by either UST or LUST priority. Let me know if you need any clarification from me.

Thanks for your assistance on this,

Sherry

Sherry A. Kamke

Underground Storage Tank Section Chief

RCRA Branch

Land and Chemicals Division

U.S. EPA Region 5

77 W. Jackson Blvd. (LR-8J)

Chicago, IL 60604

(312) 353-5794

kamke.sherry@epa.gov

From: Barolo, Mark

Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2013 4:50 PM

To: OUST Regional Division Directors; OUST Regional Deputy Division Directors; OUST Regional Branch Chiefs; OUST Regional Program Managers; Stenger, Wren

Cc: OUST Regional Liaisons; Hoskinson, Carolyn; Kertcher, Judy; Gerber, Linda; Anderson, Will; DePont, Lynn

Subject: Potential Additional UST Funding - Solicitation For Projects/Needs

It was great to see many of you at the Division Directors' meeting last week. As we discussed during that meeting, we anticipate having some additional EPM and LUST funding that we can make available for special projects and grants. During the meeting we identified a number of priorities and needs for the program which could benefit from some additional funding. While we still don't have our final operating plan, we want to plan now so we can make funding decisions once the operating plan is final. Please submit your needs in each category (EPM and LUST) to Lynn DePont and me by Friday, June 14. Include a brief description of the project and its purpose, as well as the amount and type of funding needed. NOTE: We solicited EPM needs from you earlier in the year, and we have already funded – or committed to fund – several projects. Today's offer is a supplement to that initial solicitation. As a reminder:

EPM funds can be used to help meet the Energy Policy Act requirements, including the inspections mandate. Examples of activities that can be funded are contract inspections, tribal work, funding of SEEs, etc. Please remember that these funds cannot be used to supplement state grants.

LUST funds can be for cleanup related projects that were reduced due to shortfall of available funds, or to address new needs that have arisen since you submitted your original request.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact Lynn (703)603-7148 or me.

Thank you,

Mark Barolo, Deputy Director

Office of Underground Storage Tanks

703/603-7141